

Being an agency rather than an office, I wonder: How could FCC officials take a proper oath of office? Did your officials in fact take a proper oath? Does your activity come under the constitutional republic? Or the corporate democracy? Since when does an administrative branch alter statutes passed by the legislative? The legislative, by the way, is not authorized to delegate its lawmaking powers to the executive, meaning ALL regulations the FCC makes must be pursuant to law, regardless of what you thought- and "interpretations" of words and phrases, as well. If words used in those laws were used originally in the Constitution for the United States, including ammendments, the definitions MUST be pursuant to the common law definitions of the word or phrase in currency AT THE TIME OF ITS RATIFICATION, not the Alice in Wonderland "legal" definition crafted to suit the purpose of the moment. For the same reason, no regulatory body can lawfully execute regulations which alter the definition of words or phrases used in statutes, even if there is no definition supplied in the statute. And please, stop trying to associate this de-regulatory move, an apparent ABROGATION of your RESPONSIBILITY to keep the press free, with some sort of vague, emotional "patriotism". To summarize: You have no authority to "review" laws - you can only carry out laws passed, with only that interpretation necessary to pursue the statute, and nothing else. Second, you CANNOT abrogate your DUTY to uphold the freedom of the press with this attempt to make ALL sources of information tightly controlled and censored.